REDEFINING CLASSROOM DYNAMICS; ENHANCING TEACHER – STUDENT RELATIONSHIPS FOR ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE

Dr. Brotati Chakraborty

Assistant Professor, School of Education

Adamas University, Kolkata

brotati.21@gmail.com

Abstract

In the ever-evolving landscape of education, the dynamics of the classroom play a pivotal role in shaping the learning experience and fostering academic achievement. This paper explores the transformative potential of redefining classroom dynamics through the cultivation of strong teacher-student relationships. Drawing upon research from educational psychology, pedagogy, and interpersonal communication, this study elucidates the profound impact of positive teacher-student relationships on student engagement, motivation, and academic outcomes. A case study was used to look at the emotional side of teacher-student ties in this synthesis. This single observation brought together a bunch of facts that help the lecture hall teacher get along better with her students again. After more research and analysis, it became clear why teacher-student relationships are so important and how the networks that were thought to be important to the learner's cognitive status connect with each other. In this case, the Super approach comes from a constructivist point of view that focuses on getting to the bottom of this problem of teacher-student relationships. A case study was used to look at the emotional side of teacher-student ties in this synthesis. This single observation brought together a bunch of facts that help the lecture hall teacher get along better with her students again. After more research and analysis, it became clear why teacher-student relationships are so important and how the networks that were thought to be important to the learner's cognitive status connect with each other.In this case, the Super approach comes from a constructivist point of view that focuses on getting to the bottom of this problem of teacher-student relationships.

Keywords: Teacher-Student Interaction, Language Learning, Communication

Strategies, Differentiated Instruction, Supportive Teaching Culture, Student Engagement,

I. INTRODUCTION

Growing a strong bond between a teacher and a scholar is an important part of making an academically successful environment. People in these relationships do more than just talk to each other in class. They are the foundation on which strong light, private pleasure, and idle luxury are built. This issue looks at ways to change the way lecture halls work so that teacher-student relationships are prioritized and shaped, with the end goal of achieving educational success.

The three-dimensional version might also help teachers and researchers find important relational aspects (like prestige and security) that have been missed or not included in earlier studies that focus on connection and the closeness or distance of the s. But this three-dimensional view of connections will only be useful if it can be shown to be true in the real world and linked to some important measures of the student experience. If not, it is not useful. Even though the models we've talked about so far make sense in a theoretical sense, it's very unlikely that they aren't shaped by real-world events. For example, it's possible that either a one-dimensional reading or a one-dimensional would be a better way to describe those relationships. So, the next parts of this paper will depend on how you understand the Class Affective Relationship Inventory (CARI), a poll tool created to find out how college students feel about the emotional appeal of relationships with teachers at good schools.

II. STRATEGIES FOR REDEFINING CLASSROOM DYNAMICS

1. **Creating a Supportive Environment**:

It is very important to make sure that students feel safe, accepted, and valued in the classroom. Teachers need to feel free and included, and they should tell their students that they can be themselves and not worry about being judged or made fun of for being different. At the start of the school year, using team-building games, icebreakers, and communication-building activities can help make a great and helpful classroom practice.

2. **Foreign Language (EFL) classroom**

With the ultimate goal of improving the reporting of language proficiency, based on an in-depth literature review, which highlights the importance of powerful teacher-student interactions, look at adopting an action research framework to deliver focused interventions use the right. The study involved members of the Care Education System, including EFL teachers and students. The methodology includes classroom surveys, surveys, and interviews to gather any quantitative and qualitative facts about contemporary teacher-scholar communication practices. Based on findings, various interventions are included, including communication and technology workshops, differentiated education, age-appropriate communication, and built-in supportive training there is a sequence of Results from the have a look at indicates good changes in every teacher and scholar study. Communication strategy workshops help make teacher-scholar discussions a relevant and effective read. Along with different practices for everyone, there are different student needs. Technology-driven communication provides new ways of communicating and collaborating. The

collaborative learning lifestyle contributes to brilliant classroom achievement and strengthened scholarly engagement. The discussion section synthesizes these findings, highlights and illuminates their implications for EFL training. The indicators highlight the importance of deliberate, evidence-based, key strategies including continuous professional development, frequent feedback mechanisms, and reflective practices finally, they demonstrate the transformational potential of it is at the heart of the interventions used and suggests avenues for future research. This action research report provides valuable insights into the ongoing discourse on effective EFL teaching practices, and provides practical guidance for teachers seeking to create vibrant and engaging language learning environments .The iterative and reflective nature of the research process provides a dynamic framework for continuous improvement in EFL teaching practices.

3. **Practicing Active Listening and Empathy;**

To be an active listener, you need to do more than just listen. You also need to

understand and care about what students are saying, experiencing, and worrying. These teachers need to make time for one-on-one talks with students, ask them for their ideas, and genuinely care about what they think and feel. Showing understanding is a key part of building trust and a relationship with students. Put yourself in the shoes of your students to better understand what they need and change your lessons to better meet those needs.

4. **Personalized Attention and Support**:

Teachers try to give each student the care and help they need because they know that each person is different and has their own strengths, weaknesses, and way of learning. This could include extra help outside of school, more resources or special help for students who need it, and creative tools that are made to fit the needs of each student.

5. **Promoting Collaborative Learning:**

Students will work together better and appreciate each other more if they are encouraged to do so. Group projects, discussions, and learning about things

that other students do can help college students improve their social and cognitive skills and make their relationships with both their teachers and their peers stronger. There should be a subculture of acceptance and inclusivity among college students, and coaches should be there to help guide and support their group projects.

6. **Cultivating Trust and Mutual Respect**: Trust is the most important thing in any relationship. Becoming consistent, reliable, and open about their actions and decisions is one way for teachers to build trust. Recognizing college students' ideas, reviews, and cultures builds respect between people and makes a space where everyone feels valuable and able to contribute.

7. **Continuous Professional Development**: Teachers should keep learning new things to improve their teaching methods, cultural knowledge, and ability to work with others. Educators can learn from each other, share good practices, and stay up to date on learning and new changes in training by going to workshops, conferences, and peer introduction groups.

Figure 1 Strategies for redefining classroom dynamics

III. DIMENSIONS OF STUDENT-TEACHER RELATIONSHIPS

The paintings of Jennifer Jenkins and Keith Ottley show how these themes come together. They say that emotional and social distance is often a good way to decide if a relationship gives us the feeling of connection (warmth and love),

attachment (safety and security), and assertion (the role of the social hierarchy).

1). **Affiliation/warmth**

One way to think of this measure is as the inspiration behind social life. It is also called affection, warmth, desire, or love. How people feel about "urgency" is based on how nice and friendly they are, which is linked to "professionalism" on the QTI, "proximity" on the STRS, "goodwill" for McCroskey and Teven (1999), and the mood of "caring" for Hargreaves (2001).

2.) **Attachment/security**

Along with friendliness, a sense of safety and trust in each other is also important in pedagogical relationships. If students are worried or scared about their teacher, they are less likely to ask questions. When it comes to McCroskey and Teven (1999), "trustworthiness" (attachment) is great for "goodwill" (association). In some models, however, attachment and affiliation are combined into one thing. This is shown in the "cooperation" dimensions at the QTI and the "warm temperature" dimensions for Fiske et al. (2007). Some researchers (Oatley et al., 2006) say this might be because of Western cultural biases: Western

researchers tend to mix it up when they talk about relationships; for example, they look at images in the way that people who make us feel like people are interested in us will also make us feel stable. Other researchers say that social and emotional relationships can be seen as separate (Goldberg et al., 1999). As an example in education, it is very unlikely to hire a professor who is seen as honest and laid-back by students (highly attached to someone) but doesn't interact with students from the same college (who don't have a bad relationship with him) in a warm or enthusiastic way.

3.) **Assertion/power/status**

Following ideas from the mindshare literature, Ottley et al. Choose that feeling also plays a part in the areas of life that sociologists usually study the most: popularity or electricity (e.g., Kemper). Authoritative phrases are used to show distances in QTI. Fiske et al. (2007) pick "efficiency" measures that show the "status" of (political or organizational role and social class), which has been seen as a part of power since Max Weber's sociology in 1986. Feelings of danger, pleasure, and maybe even envy see the person or thing that is making them feel that way as lacking

when they are interested in someone else on the embodied level of cultural capital.

More closeness can be seen as a teaching benefit for the first two things. As a result, we think that students might value an extra sense of love and safety. But when it comes to the 1/3 measure, researchers seem to care more about rank distance than popularity closeness. Because of this, we think that manipulating college students on this level might help them feel more fear or respect. This three-dimensional view of the student-teacher relationship could be a big step forward in the study of how college lecture halls work. In judging old behavioral work at a faster pace, the ability to be more adaptable across cultures (which has both practical and psychological value in a time when students move around the world a lot), and emotional quality, college students are more interested in these things than in good school teaching practices (Quinlan, 2016).

IV. CONCLUSION

To get great grades, we need to change the way classrooms work so that teachers and students can build and support relationships. Teachers can make learning spaces where every student feels valued, supported, and able to reach their full potential by actively listening and empathizing, giving each

student one-on-one attention and support, encouraging collaborative learning, building trust and mutual appreciation, and continuing their professional development on a regular basis. After all, a good relationship between a teacher and a student might not directly improve how well they are taught, but it does set the stage for lifelong learning, casual fun, and social and mental health.

REFERENCES

1. Askew, S. (2000). Feedback for Learning. Florence, KY, USA: Routledge, 2000, p 21. B

2. Aker, J.A. (1999). Teacher-Student interaction in urban at-risk classrooms: differential behavior, relationship quality, and student satisfaction with school. The Elementary School journal, 100:1, 57- 70.

3. Baker, J.A. (2006). Contributions of teacher-child relationship to positive school adjustment during elementary school. Journal of School Psychology, 44(3), 211-229.

4. Bartlett, L., (2005). Dialogue, knowledge, and teacher-student relations: Freirean Pedagogy in theory and practice. Comparative Education Review, 49:3, 344-356.

5. Birch, S.H., Ladd, G.W. (1998). Children's interpersonal behaviors and the teacher- child relationship. Developmental Psychology, 34(5), 934-946.

6. Blumer, H., (1969). Symbolic interactionism: perspectives and method. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

7. Acker, S. (2012). Chairing and caring: Gendered dimensions of leadership in academe. Gender and Education, 24(4), 411–428.

8. Acker, S., & Feuerverger, G. (1996). Doing good and feeling bad: The work of women university teachers. Cambridge Journal of Education, 26(3), 401–422.

9. Alt, D., & Itzkovic, Y. (2015). Assessing the connection between students' justice experience and perceptions of faculty incivility in higher education. Journal of Academic Ethics, 13, 121–134. Alt,

10. D., & Itzkovic, Y. (2019). The connection between perceived constructivist learning environments and faculty uncivil authoritarian

behaviors. Higher Education, 77, 437–454.

11. Anderson, J. F. (1979). Teacher immediacy as a predictor of teaching effectiveness. In D. Nimmo (Ed.), Communication Yearbook (Vol. 3, pp. 543–559).

12. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books. Beard, C., Clegg, S., & Smith, K. (2007). Acknowledging the affective in higher education. British Educational Research Journal, 33(2), 235–252.

13. Blumer, H. (1978). Social unrest and collective protest. Studies in symbolic interaction, 1(1978), 1-54.

14. Bonk, C. & Cunningham, D.J. (1998). Searching for learner-centered, constructivist, and socio cultural components of collaborative educational learning tools. Indiana: Indiana University.

15. Borman, G., & Overman, L. (2004). Academic resilience in mathematics among poor and minority students. Elementary School Journal, 104, 17-197.

16. Bracey, G.W. (2009). Identify and Observe Effective Teacher Behaviors. Phi Delta Kappan, 90, 772-773.

17. Brophy, J.E. (1974). Teacher-student relationships: causes and consequences. NewYork, NY: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

18. Celce-Murcia, M. (2007). Rethinking the Role of Communicative Competence in Language Teaching. In L. Wei (Ed.), The Routledge Applied Linguistics Reader (pp. 111-128). Routledge.

19. Chapelle, C. A. (2003). English Language Learning and Technology: Lectures on Applied Linguistics in the Age of Information and Communication Technology. John Benjamins Publishing.

20. Farrell, T. S. C. (2018). Reflective Language Teaching: From Research to Practice. Bloomsbury Academic.

21. Freeman, D. (1996). Renaming Experience/Reconstructing Practice: Developing New Understandings of Teaching. In D. Freeman & J. C. Richards (Eds.), Teacher Learning in Language Teaching (pp. 14-35). Cambridge University Press.